

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

HAZEL M. ROBY, etc.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:05CV494-MHT
)	[WO]
BENTON EXPRESS, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER ON MOTION

Upon consideration of the defendant's Motion To Compel, filed on 3 January 2006 (Doc. # 53), it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion be DENIED pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and 37(a)(2)(B) and ¶5 of the General Order of this court entered on 22 November 1993.

The applicable provisions of this court's General Order reads as follows:

the court will not consider any motion relating to discovery, such as a motion to compel or a motion for protective order, unless the motion is accompanied by a written certification that the moving party has made a reasonable good-faith effort to reach agreement with opposing counsel on the matters set forth in the motion.

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and 37(a)(2)(B), as amended on December 1, 1993, require litigants to seek to resolve discovery disputes by a good faith *conference* before seeking court intervention. Discovery motions filed pursuant to these Rules *must be accompanied by a certification* that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to

confer with other affected parties in an effort to resolve the dispute without court action. This court has interpreted the requirement to confer as a requirement that the parties conduct an in-person conference to facilitate a good faith effort to settle the dispute.

DONE this 10th day of January, 2006.

/s/ Vanzetta Penn McPherson
VANZETTA PENN MCPHERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE